Lecture 15: Money and Business Cycles I: The Price-Misperceptions MODELSee Barro Ch. 15

Trevor Gallen

Spring, 2016

> You now have a pretty complete model of the macroeconomy

- You now have a pretty complete model of the macroeconomy
- > You have a model of the household sector, which:

- > You now have a pretty complete model of the macroeconomy
- > You have a model of the household sector, which:
 - Works (when wages are temporarily high)

- > You now have a pretty complete model of the macroeconomy
- > You have a model of the household sector, which:
 - Works (when wages are temporarily high)
 - Saves (when interest rates are high)

- > You now have a pretty complete model of the macroeconomy
- > You have a model of the household sector, which:
 - Works (when wages are temporarily high)
 - Saves (when interest rates are high)
- You have firms which:

- ► You now have a pretty complete model of the macroeconomy
- > You have a model of the household sector, which:
 - Works (when wages are temporarily high)
 - Saves (when interest rates are high)
- You have firms which:
 - Demand more labor when productivity is high
 - Demand more capital when productivity is high

- You now have a pretty complete model of the macroeconomy
- > You have a model of the household sector, which:
 - Works (when wages are temporarily high)
 - Saves (when interest rates are high)
- You have firms which:
 - Demand more labor when productivity is high
 - Demand more capital when productivity is high
- A law of motion of capital relating investment (savings) to capital accumulation

- You now have a pretty complete model of the macroeconomy
- > You have a model of the household sector, which:
 - Works (when wages are temporarily high)
 - Saves (when interest rates are high)
- You have firms which:
 - Demand more labor when productivity is high
 - Demand more capital when productivity is high
- A law of motion of capital relating investment (savings) to capital accumulation
- A government sector that can:

- You now have a pretty complete model of the macroeconomy
- > You have a model of the household sector, which:
 - Works (when wages are temporarily high)
 - Saves (when interest rates are high)
- You have firms which:
 - Demand more labor when productivity is high
 - Demand more capital when productivity is high
- A law of motion of capital relating investment (savings) to capital accumulation
- A government sector that can:
 - Tax labor, assets (or consumption),
 - Print money
 - Run deficits
 - Spend money on expenditures or transfers

- You now have a pretty complete model of the macroeconomy
- > You have a model of the household sector, which:
 - Works (when wages are temporarily high)
 - Saves (when interest rates are high)
- You have firms which:
 - Demand more labor when productivity is high
 - Demand more capital when productivity is high
- A law of motion of capital relating investment (savings) to capital accumulation
- A government sector that can:
 - Tax labor, assets (or consumption),
 - Print money
 - Run deficits
 - Spend money on expenditures or transfers
- A money demand equation that relates the quantity of money to the price level

- You now have a pretty complete model of the macroeconomy
- > You have a model of the household sector, which:
 - Works (when wages are temporarily high)
 - Saves (when interest rates are high)
- You have firms which:
 - Demand more labor when productivity is high
 - Demand more capital when productivity is high
- A law of motion of capital relating investment (savings) to capital accumulation
- A government sector that can:
 - Tax labor, assets (or consumption),
 - Print money
 - Run deficits
 - Spend money on expenditures or transfers
- A money demand equation that relates the quantity of money to the price level
- Cool.

INTRODUCTION-II

- Everything works pretty nicely
- Recessions are caused by real shocks (productivity shocks)
- Money doesn't have a huge effect on real variables (Money is neutral)
- A lot of people think that it does. But how?
- We'll see two models in which it can: this is the first

PRICE-MISPERCEPTIONS MODEL

- Maybe people don't fully follow the CPI: misunderstand changes in nominal prices and wages
- In other words, they have incomplete information
- Our first non-neutral model can be described as a "Lucas Islands" model

ISLAND IN THE SUN

- > You live on a desert island alone with one coconut tree
- > You hate coconuts, and the tree is hard to climb
- The only reason you get coconuts is to trade them for cash with ship A when it comes by
- With cash from ship A, you can buy oranges (which you love) from ship B

TIMING

Wake up

- Ship A comes, tells you the price of coconuts (in cash)
- You decide how much to climb the tree and get coconuts, trade them for cash
- Ship B comes and you find out the cost of oranges in dollars
- Trade cash for oranges, go to sleep

There are two possibilities of interest when Ship A comes in and tells you that there's a really high price for coconuts:

- There are two possibilities of interest when Ship A comes in and tells you that there's a really high price for coconuts:
 - 1. It's piña colada appreciation month

- There are two possibilities of interest when Ship A comes in and tells you that there's a really high price for coconuts:
 - 1. It's piña colada appreciation month
 - Cash price of oranges didn't change: you're more productive, have a temporarily higher wage, so work more!

- There are two possibilities of interest when Ship A comes in and tells you that there's a really high price for coconuts:
 - 1. It's piña colada appreciation month
 - Cash price of oranges didn't change: you're more productive, have a temporarily higher wage, so work more!
 - 2. Helicopters are dropping tons of money all over

- There are two possibilities of interest when Ship A comes in and tells you that there's a really high price for coconuts:
 - 1. It's piña colada appreciation month
 - Cash price of oranges didn't change: you're more productive, have a temporarily higher wage, so work more!
 - 2. Helicopters are dropping tons of money all over
 - Cash price of oranges went up to: same real price, don't work more!

- There are two possibilities of interest when Ship A comes in and tells you that there's a really high price for coconuts:
 - 1. It's piña colada appreciation month
 - Cash price of oranges didn't change: you're more productive, have a temporarily higher wage, so work more!
 - 2. Helicopters are dropping tons of money all over
 - Cash price of oranges went up to: same real price, don't work more!
- You can't tell which!

VS.





THE IDEA

- The point of this story is that you see a **noisy** measure of your wage
- You just see ^w/_P where P is your guess about what the price will be
- So when the ship A comes and says they're paying \$5/coconut and Ship B's price is \$1/orange, your wage turns out to be high
- That could be the case, but it might be that the price is really \$10/orange.
- You make labor market decisions based on perceived wage rate which may be wrong

How could the government influence real behavior through money supply?

- How could the government influence real behavior through money supply?
 - 1. The government prints tons of money, starts buying up oranges and coconuts

- How could the government influence real behavior through money supply?
 - 1. The government prints tons of money, starts buying up oranges and coconuts
 - 2. Prices will go up for ship A, so they'll raise your wage

- How could the government influence real behavior through money supply?
 - 1. The government prints tons of money, starts buying up oranges and coconuts
 - 2. Prices will go up for ship A, so they'll raise your wage
 - 3. Potentially unbeknownst to you, prices of oranges also rises: your real wage didn't change

- How could the government influence real behavior through money supply?
 - 1. The government prints tons of money, starts buying up oranges and coconuts
 - 2. Prices will go up for ship A, so they'll raise your wage
 - 3. Potentially unbeknownst to you, prices of oranges also rises: your real wage didn't change
 - 4. But it looks like your real wage changed!

- How could the government influence real behavior through money supply?
 - 1. The government prints tons of money, starts buying up oranges and coconuts
 - 2. Prices will go up for ship A, so they'll raise your wage
 - 3. Potentially unbeknownst to you, prices of oranges also rises: your real wage didn't change
 - 4. But it looks like your real wage changed!
 - 5. Work more!

- How could the government influence real behavior through money supply?
 - 1. The government prints tons of money, starts buying up oranges and coconuts
 - 2. Prices will go up for ship A, so they'll raise your wage
 - 3. Potentially unbeknownst to you, prices of oranges also rises: your real wage didn't change
 - 4. But it looks like your real wage changed!
 - 5. Work more!
- If you notice inflation in wages but not in prices, your supply curve shifts out

UNPERCEIVED INFLATION INCREASES LABOR



PERCIEVED AND UNPERCIEVED INFLATION

- If inflation is perceived we're back to neutrality
- It's only unperceived inflation that will impact behavior
- When will you fail to notice inflation the most?
 - When it's unexpected
 - When you aren't paying attention
 - When it isn't on your agenda
- Lucas hypothesis: real effects of a monetary shock are larger the more stable the underlying environment is
- You can fool some of the people all of the time, or all of the people some of the time, but not both...

The Phillips Curve

- There might be a tradeoff between (unexpected) inflation and unemployment
- But maybe people don't learn very much...then we'd have something like:



PERCIEVED AND UNPERCIEVED INFLATION: EXAMPLE

Let's say the government always wanted people to work more

PERCIEVED AND UNPERCIEVED INFLATION: EXAMPLE

- Let's say the government always wanted people to work more
- What should they do?
- Let's say the government always wanted people to work more
- What should they do?
 - Surprise inflation!

- Let's say the government always wanted people to work more
- What should they do?
 - Surprise inflation!
- They go from 0% to 1% inflation: surprise!

- Let's say the government always wanted people to work more
- What should they do?
 - Surprise inflation!
- ▶ They go from 0% to 1% inflation: surprise!
- They stay at 1% inflation...surprise again!

- Let's say the government always wanted people to work more
- What should they do?
 - Surprise inflation!
- They go from 0% to 1% inflation: surprise!
- They stay at 1% inflation...surprise again!
- They stay at 1% inflation...not such a surprise...

- Let's say the government always wanted people to work more
- What should they do?
 - Surprise inflation!
- They go from 0% to 1% inflation: surprise!
- They stay at 1% inflation...surprise again!
- They stay at 1% inflation...not such a surprise...
- Go to 2% surprise!

- Let's say the government always wanted people to work more
- What should they do?
 - Surprise inflation!
- ▶ They go from 0% to 1% inflation: surprise!
- They stay at 1% inflation...surprise again!
- They stay at 1% inflation...not such a surprise...
- Go to 2% surprise!
- Stay at 2%...you've caught on...no surprise...

- Let's say the government always wanted people to work more
- What should they do?
 - Surprise inflation!
- They go from 0% to 1% inflation: surprise!
- They stay at 1% inflation...surprise again!
- They stay at 1% inflation...not such a surprise...
- Go to 2% surprise!
- Stay at 2%...you've caught on...no surprise...
- Go to 4% surprise!

- Let's say the government always wanted people to work more
- What should they do?
 - Surprise inflation!
- They go from 0% to 1% inflation: surprise!
- They stay at 1% inflation...surprise again!
- They stay at 1% inflation...not such a surprise...
- Go to 2% surprise!
- Stay at 2%...you've caught on...no surprise...
- Go to 4% surprise!
- This is way too crazy a game to actually happen, right?



U.S. Inflation (Annual Rate)

PHILLIPS CURVE-I

Phillips Curve, 1948-1959



Tradeoff between inflation and unemployment?

PHILLIPS CURVE-II

Phillips Curve, 1959-1970



Tradeoff between inflation and unemployment! Let's use it!

PHILLIPS CURVE-III

Phillips Curve, 1970-1980



Can't fool all of the people all of the time!

PHILLIPS CURVE-IV

Phillips Curve, 1980-1992



Perhaps spiraling downwards to a new stable line?

PHILLIPS CURVE-V

Phillips Curve, 1992-2000



PHILLIPS CURVE-VI

Phillips Curve, 2000-2008



Pack it up!

PHILLIPS CURVE-VII

Phillips Curve, 2008-2016



PHILLIPS CURVE-VIII

Phillips Curve 1948-2016



What should the price-misperceptions model predict about the cyclicality of:

- What should the price-misperceptions model predict about the cyclicality of:
 - Nominal quantity of money?

- What should the price-misperceptions model predict about the cyclicality of:
 - Nominal quantity of money? procyclical

- What should the price-misperceptions model predict about the cyclicality of:
 - Nominal quantity of money? procyclical
 - Price level?

- What should the price-misperceptions model predict about the cyclicality of:
 - Nominal quantity of money? procyclical
 - Price level? procylical

- What should the price-misperceptions model predict about the cyclicality of:
 - Nominal quantity of money? procyclical
 - Price level? procylical
 - Labor input?

- What should the price-misperceptions model predict about the cyclicality of:
 - Nominal quantity of money? procyclical
 - Price level? procylical
 - Labor input? procylical

- What should the price-misperceptions model predict about the cyclicality of:
 - Nominal quantity of money? procyclical
 - Price level? procylical
 - Labor input? procylical
 - Real wage rate?

- What should the price-misperceptions model predict about the cyclicality of:
 - Nominal quantity of money? procyclical
 - Price level? procylical
 - Labor input? procylical
 - Real wage rate? countercylical

- What should the price-misperceptions model predict about the cyclicality of:
 - Nominal quantity of money? procyclical
 - Price level? procylical
 - Labor input? procylical
 - Real wage rate? countercylical
 - Average product of labor?

- What should the price-misperceptions model predict about the cyclicality of:
 - Nominal quantity of money? procyclical
 - Price level? procylical
 - Labor input? procylical
 - Real wage rate? countercylical
 - Average product of labor? countercylical

Cyclical Patterns of Macroeconomic Summary

Variable	Data	Equilibrium business-cycle model	Price- misperceptions
Nominal quan- tity of money	pro*	pro	pro
Price level	counter	counter	pro
Labor	pro	pro	pro
Real wage rate	pro	pro	counter
Average prod- uct of labor	pro*	pro	counter

Empirical Evidence-I

Friedman and Schwartz (1963):

- Changes in money stock have been closely associated with changes in economic activity, money income, and prices
- The interrelation between monetary and economic change has been highly stable
- Monetary changes have often had an independent origin: they have not been simply a reflection of changes in economic activity

EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE-I

Friedman and Schwartz (1963):

- Changes in money stock have been closely associated with changes in economic activity, money income, and prices
- The interrelation between monetary and economic change has been highly stable
- Monetary changes have often had an independent origin: they have not been simply a reflection of changes in economic activity

Empirical Evidence-I

Friedman and Schwartz (1963):

- Changes in money stock have been closely associated with changes in economic activity, money income, and prices
- The interrelation between monetary and economic change has been highly stable
- Monetary changes have often had an independent origin: they have not been simply a reflection of changes in economic activity
- Exogenous changes to the money stock, like gold discoveries, were related to real changes in the economy

Empirical Evidence-II

- Broadbent (1996):
 - Unanticipated changes in the money stock caused real increases in GDP
- Though if the Federal Reserve is increasing M in response to future Y then we have reverse causality

Empirical Evidence-III

▶ Romer & Romer look at transcripts of open market committee

- Try to find "shocks" in money supply
- Find that unanticipated increases in the Federal Funds Rate tended to decrease economic activity (consistent with our model)
- Con: How good are the measure of "shocks?"

PRODUCTIVITY SHOCKS IN PRICE MISPERCEPTIONS

- ► How do people respond when *A*, the technology level, is changed?
- Recall that a positive shock to A reduces prices
- If people are getting slow information, then they don't realize how much their real wage goes up
- Consequently, their labor supply doesn't shift out as much
- Note: This is terrible!

PROBLEMS WITH DISCRETION

- The government can trick people into working more by having unanticipated inflation
- Whatever your expectations of inflation, government can get you to work more
- The natural tendency is to keep amping it up 1. If you expect 1% inflation, I give you 2%
 - 2. Then, when you learn and expect 2%, I give you 3%
- It's easy for things to get out of hand...this may be a good explanation of the 1970's inflation
- Some push for rules-based monetary policy to neutralize this desire